REGULAR MEETING of the  
San Mateo County Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (SMCBPAC)  
Thursday, June 17, 2021  
7:00 P.M.

***BY VIDEOCONFERENCE ONLY***

Pursuant to the Shelter in Place Orders issued by the San Mateo County Health Officer and the Governor, the Governor’s Executive Order N-29-20, and the CDC’s social distancing guidelines which discourage large public gatherings, the regular meeting location of the SMCBPAC is no longer open for public meetings.

Public Participation

* Written public comments may be emailed to jslavit@smcgov.org and should include the specific agenda item on which you are commenting, or note that your comment concerns an item that is not on the agenda or is on the consent agenda.

* Spoken public comments will also be accepted during the meeting through Zoom.

* Please see instructions for written and spoken public comments at the end of this agenda

1. WELCOME

2. ROLL CALL

3. PUBLIC COMMENT

This item is reserved for persons wishing to address the Committee on any SMCBPAC-related matters that are as follows: 1) Not otherwise on this meeting agenda; 2) Staff Report on the Regular Meeting Agenda; or 3) Committee Members’ Reports on the Regular Meeting Agenda. Public comments on matters not listed above shall be heard at the time the matter is called.

Speakers are customarily limited to two minutes, but an extension can be provided to you at the discretion of the Committee Chair.
4. ACTION TO SET AGENDA

This item is to set the final regular agenda.

REGULAR AGENDA

5. Review and Approve April 15, 2020 Meeting Minutes (Action)

6. BPAC Member Announcements and Discussion (Information)

7. Kings Mountain Road Update (Information)

8. San Mateo County Local Road Safety Plan (Information)

9. Safe Routes to School Presentation (Information)

10. County Updates (Information)

11. Adjournment

Instructions for Public Comment During Videoconference Meetings

During videoconference meetings of the SMCBPAC, members of the public may address the SMCBPAC members as follows:

*Written Comments:

Written public comments may be emailed in advance of the meeting. Please read the following instructions carefully:

1. Your written comment should be emailed to jslavit@smcgov.org.

2. Your email should include the specific agenda item on which you are commenting, or note that your comment concerns an item that is not on the agenda or is on the consent agenda.

3. Members of the public are limited to one comment per agenda item.

4. The length of the emailed comment should be commensurate with the two minutes customarily allowed for verbal comments, which is approximately 250-300 words.

5. If your emailed comment is received at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting, it will be provided to the SMCBPAC members and made publicly available on the SMCBPAC website along with the agenda. We cannot guarantee that e-mails received less than 24 hours in advance of the meeting will be read during the meeting, but such e-mails will still be included in the administrative record of the meeting.
*Spoken Comments:*

Spoken public comments will be accepted during the meeting through Zoom. Please read the following instructions carefully:

1. The June 17, 2021 SMCBPAC meeting may be accessed through Zoom online at https://smcgov.zoom.us/j/98215054624.

   The meeting ID is: 982 1505 4624. The April 15, 2021 SMCBPAC meeting may also be accessed via telephone by dialing US: +1 669 900 6833 (Local). Enter the meeting ID: 982 1505 4624, then press #.

2. You may download the Zoom client or connect to the meeting using an internet browser. If using your browser, make sure you are using a current, up-to-date browser: Chrome 30+, Firefox 27+, Microsoft Edge 12+, Safari 7+. Certain functionality may be disabled in older browsers including Internet Explorer.

3. You will be asked to enter an email address and name. We request that you identify yourself by name as this will be visible online and will be used to notify you that it is your turn to speak.

4. When the SMCBPAC Chair calls on the item you wish to speak, click on “raise hand.” The SMCBPAC Chair will activate and unmute speakers in turn. Speakers will be notified shortly before they are called to speak.

5. When called, please limit your remarks to the time limit allotted.

Public records that relate to any item on the open session agenda for the Committee meeting are available for public inspection. Those records that are distributed less than 24 hours prior to the meeting are available for public inspection at the same time they are distributed to all members, or a majority of the members of the Committee. The SMCBPAC’s website has been designated for the purpose of making those public records available for inspection. The website is located at: http://www.smcsustainability.org/livable-communities/active-transportation/.

Meetings are accessible to people with disabilities. Individuals who need special assistance or a disability-related modification or accommodation (including auxiliary aids or services) to participate in this meeting, or who have a disability and wish to request an alternative format for the agenda, meeting notice, agenda packet or other writings that may be distributed at the meeting, should contact Joel Slavit, Senior Sustainability Specialist at least 24 hours before the meeting at jslavit@smc.gov. Notification in advance of the meeting will enable the County to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility to this meeting and the materials related to it.
San Mateo County Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (SMCBPAC)

MEETING MINUTES

***BY VIDEOCONFERENCE***
Thursday, April 15, 2021
7:00 P.M.

1. WELCOME

Chair Doherty called the meeting to order at 7:00 P.M. She noted that BPAC members and staff would be connecting to this meeting either by video or audio. Chair Doherty then stated the process for public comment, noted the challenges of holding a BPAC meeting online and thanked everyone for their patience during this time.

2. ROLL CALL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Members Present:</th>
<th>Members Absent:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Susan Doherty</td>
<td>William Kelly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frederick Zyda</td>
<td>Annie Tsai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Langbein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elaine Salinger</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christina Aquino</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

County Staff: Joel Slavit, Julia Malmo-Laycock, Paul Sheng

Joel Slavit conducted a roll call. A quorum was present.

3. PUBLIC COMMENT

Before the public comment period proceeded, Mr. Slavit briefly explained procedural logistics for receiving public comment for virtual Zoom meetings.
Bruce Dughi, member of the public, expressed support for Craig Davis’s presentation on Enforcing Laws for Bicycle Safety at the February BPAC meeting. Mr. Dughi noted that he worked with Mr. Davis, from CyclistVideoEvidence.com, in Castro Valley and found him to be very helpful. He stated that more cyclist protection from law enforcement was needed.

4. ACTION TO SET AGENDA

Chair Doherty introduced the item.

*Motion: Member Langbein moved to approve/Member Zyda seconded. The motion carried 5-0.*

REGULAR AGENDA

5. Review and Approve December 17, 2020 Meeting Minutes (Action)

Chair Doherty introduced the item.

*Motion: Member Langbein moved to approve/Member Zyda seconded. The motion carried 5-0.*

6. BPAC Member Announcements and Discussion (Information)

Chair Doherty introduced the item. Member Langbein noted that internal roads within Huddart County Park could be used as an alternative to a stretch of lower Kings Mountain Road to avoid potential conflicts with automobile traffic. He stated that the BPAC should help get the word out about it. He asked if the Parks Department could provide wayfinding signs to help direct bicyclists on this alternative route so people don’t end up on a trail. Member Salinger volunteered to help get the word out to the cycling community and asked for some language that she could use. Member Langbein said he would prepare language.

Member Langbein suggested a panel discussion take place between the Sheriff’s Office, the County District Attorney, a County judge, and a personal injury lawyer to discuss vehicle code regulations with respect to safety and to learn more about why there isn’t more law enforcement action taken against unsafe driver behavior. He also noted that the Silicon Valley Bicycle Coalition (SVBC) might be a more appropriate venue for this panel. Chair Doherty suggested that a mini-committee of two, with her and Member Langbein take place to further discuss this. Mr. Davis stated that his group has had several town halls in Alameda County and that the important thing was to raise awareness with district attorneys. He said he has had great success with town halls and panel discussion in open and respectful conversations with drivers and cyclists.

7. BPAC Meeting Process and Roles (Information)

Paul Sheng, Deputy County Counsel, delivered a PowerPoint presentation to inform the BPAC on the Committee’s purpose, roles, and duties, per County Resolution No. 073998, which authorized the establishment of the BPAC. He also spoke to other rules applicable to the BPAC including the Brown Act, the California Public Records Act, County Standing Rules and County Conflict of Interest Laws.
Member Salinger thanked Mr. Sheng for his presentation. She stated that the goals of the BPAC were lofty but that they are difficult to attain because of financial constraints. Chair Doherty concurred, citing the Crystal Springs Regional Trail Gap Grand Jury report, and that closure of the gap has yet to take place. Chair Doherty asked what follow up would take place. Mr. Sheng stated that the Department would have had to prepare a response and the judge sees it. Member Langbein stated that this is where advocacy comes in, and it happens through persistence.

8. **Caltrans Bike Highway Study Presentation** (Information)

Elliot Goodrich, Caltrans District 4 Pedestrian and Bicycle Branch and Libby Nachman, a consultant from Alta Planning, delivered a PowerPoint presentation on the Caltrans Bike Highway Study. Mr. Goodrich noted that the impetus for the study, which kicked off in the fall of 2020 and is expected to be complete in the winter of 2021-2022, came from both the Statewide Active Transportation Plan and the Caltrans District 4 Bicycle Plan. He also provided an overview of the public engagement process. Ms. Nachman provided background on bicycle highways, stating the intent is to provide high quality uninterrupted long distance bikeways that support high speed travel, large volumes of people bicycling, and allowing for connections to regional destinations for both commuters and recreational cyclists. Ms. Nachman further elaborated on key design principles, elements, and treatments for bicycle highways. The presentation was completed with next steps that will include the selection of routes with public feedback, and the development of concepts for bike highway segments.

Mr. Davis asked about reducing friction between different types of cyclists traveling at different speeds. Ms. Nachman explained that best practice for a bike highway was to have space and separation to accommodate cyclists traveling at different speeds.

Chair Doherty indicated she appreciated the work, and asked whether the team was leveraging Strava data. Ms. Nachman responded that while it wasn’t being used for this study, Caltrans uses origin destination data for all modes and that it wants to provide facilities where lots of people are commuting.

Member Salinger said she looked forward to seeing the results of the study implemented. Member Langbein asked how mode shift could be achieved with limited real estate in the built environment. Mr. Goodrich replied that he was hopeful where there is right of way along a freeway or that there may be a possibility for the reallocation of space.

Rob Waring, member of the public, agreed with a comment made by Mr. Davis regarding potential conflicts between different types of bicycle riders. He indicated that a friend of his from Holland wouldn’t cycle in the County due to three main safety concerns: lack of infrastructure, enforcement of three foot safe passing laws, and the need to better train law enforcement on cycling safety best practices and laws that pertain to cyclists.

9. **AB 122 Support letter** (Action)

Member Salinger introduced the item explaining the proposed bill, which would result in a change to the State vehicle code to allow bicyclists to slow to a reasonable speed when approaching a stop sign, yield to other traffic, and proceed through an intersection when safe without coming to a complete stop. Member Langbein noted that the Idaho stop law has two parts, yielding at stop signs and at red lights, the latter, which is not in the California bill.
Motion: Chair Doherty made a motion to accept the letter as written, Member Salinger seconded. The motion carried 5-0.

10. County Updates (Information)

Mr. Slavit informed the BPAC that Caltrans awarded the County a $246,500 Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) grant for safe routes to school pedestrian crossing improvements at schools in Broadmoor, the San Mateo Highlands and in El Granada that include high visibility crosswalks, curb extensions, ADA ramps and rectangular rapid flashing beacons (RRFBs).

Mr. Slavit noted that Bicycle Sundays on Canada Road was scheduled to reopen on May 2nd to its regular schedule. He also reiterated the latest status of proposed improvements on Kings Mountain Road from an e-mail that was distributed to the BPAC and interested parties list. He said County staff reassessed the restriping project and determined that three locations will be provided to allow vehicles to pass bicyclists. He also stated that the County was in the process of scheduling work with its contractor.

11. Adjournment

Motion: Chair Doherty motioned to adjourn, Member Langbein seconded. The motion carried 5-0.

The meeting adjourned at 8:24 P.M.