San Mateo County Sea Change Community Resilience Grant-Funded Project: **South San Francisco Assessment of Vulnerable Properties and Livelihoods** Final Model Findings – December 2019



ΗΔΤCΗ NCLIMATE

Context

Purpose of Study

- Create a model to assess economic and social assets at risk from sea level rise in San Mateo 1. County using the City of South San Francisco as a test case.
- Meet the goals of the San Mateo County Community Resilience Grant program, including: 2.
 - Provide a tool that cities and unincorporated communities in San Mateo County can use to assess the value at risk of sea level rise in commercial and industrial properties
 - Engage stakeholders in the design and deployment of the value-at-risk model



Project Study Area

Northern Boundaries:

- Railroad Ave
- Caltrain tracks
- E Grand Ave

Eastern Boundaries:

- Haskins Way
- Belle Aire Island

Southern Boundaries:

- North Access Road
- I-380
- Tanforan Ave

Western Boundaries:

- Herman Street
- Huntington Ave
- S Spruce Ave



Peer Review Group

- Main vehicle for stakeholder engagement on this project
- Members invited from
 - Colma Creek Flood District
 - San Mateo County City/County Association of Governments
 - Participants in the "Resilient South City" Bay Area Resilient by Design process
- Comments solicited on three rounds:
 - 1. Model Design
 - 2. Model Findings
 - 3. Model instructions and training



Assessment Findings

Table of Contents

| Model Section | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| A Asset Value | A.1 What is the market value of |
| A. ASSEL VUIUE | A.2 What is the replacement va |
| B. Employment Value | B.1 How many people are empl |
| | B.2 What share of employees re |
| | B.3 What are the wages earned |
| | B.4 What share of employees a |
| | B.5 What share of jobs are low- |
| | C.1 How much do properties in |
| C. Fiscal Revenue | C.2 How much do properties in |
| | C.3 How much do properties in |
| D. Ecosystem Services | D.1 What is the potential carbo |

Model Element

- f the land?
- lue of infrastructure in the study area?
- loyed in the study area?
- eside in South San Francisco?
- in the study area?
- re low income?
- -skill and high-wage?
- the study area contribute in property taxes?
- the study area contribute in sales taxes?
- the study area contribute in hotel taxes?
- n offset value of tidal marshland?

Land Use in the Study Area



Part A: Economic Value

A.1 What is the market value of the land?

Land Value

Grand Avenue

Jentin L

190M



asti

Source: CoStar, Urban Footprint



https://feeneyhatch.carto.com/builder/32b55cb8-38e2-4112-9baa-9f8a4fe98acf/embed

A2. What is the replacement value of infrastructure in the study area?



Source: City of South San Francisco; Silicon Valley 2.0

East Grand Avenue Length: 1.3 miles Total Cost: \$9,750,000

Gateway/S. Airport Blvd Length: 1.2 miles Total Cost: \$6,000,000

> South San Francisco/ San Bruno Water Quality Control Plant Capacity (MGD): 60 Total Cost: \$525,000,000

Part B: Employment Value

B1. How many people are employed in the study area?



B2. What share of employees reside in the City of South San Francisco?



| Workers in Study Area | Workers in Study Area residing in South San Francisco | Percentage |
|--------------------------|---|------------|
| 16,514 | 1,404 | 8.5% |

Source: US Census, Longitudinal Employer Household Dynamics (LEHD)

B.3 What are the wages earned in the study area?

Total Annual Wage

0

4.0M AVG

| Employer Type | Average wages per parcel | Total wages in study area |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| All Employer types | \$157,000 | \$2,205,000,000 |
| Industrial - All | \$99,000 | \$982,000,000 |
| Industrial - Manufacturing | \$146,000 | \$5,000,000 |
| Industrial - Wholesale | \$101,000 | \$458,000,000 |
| Industrial - Transportation / Warehouse | \$66,000 | \$300,000,000 |
| Industrial - Construction | \$92,000 | \$187,000,000 |
| Industrial - Other | \$96,000 | \$31,000,000 |
| Retail | \$46,000 | \$45,000,000 |
| Office | \$151.000 | \$119,000,000 |

Source: Urban Footprint and Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages

Grand Avenue

130M



B4. What share of employees are low-income?B5. What share of jobs are low-skill and high-wage?

| Employer Type | Share of employees making less than 80% of Area Median Income (AMI) per land use | Share of employees with less than a college education making more than 150% of AMI per land use |
|---|---|---|
| All Employer types | 19% | 20% |
| Industrial - All | 3% | 30% |
| Industrial - Manufacturing | 0% | 57% |
| Industrial - Wholesale | 0% | 0% |
| Industrial - Transportation / Warehouse | 6% | 0% |
| Industrial - Construction | 0% | 52% |
| Industrial - Other | 44% | 30% |
| Retail | 33% | 0% |
| Office | 2% | 75% |

Source: US Census Local Employment Dynamics (LED)

Part C: Fiscal Value

C1. How much do properties in the study area contribute in property taxes?

| Land Use Type | Aggregated property tax revenue |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| All Land Uses | \$23,000,00 |
| ndustrial - All | \$18,700,00 |
| Retail | \$2,300,00 |
| Office | \$270,00 |
| Hotel | \$1,400,00 |

C.2 How much do properties in the study area contribute in sales taxes?

Estimate by the City of South San Francisco tax consultant of the annual sales tax revenue generated in the study area.

C.3 How much do properties in the study area contribute in hotel taxes?

Estimate by the City of South San Francisco of the annual transient occupancy tax revenue generated in the study area.

\$12,00,000

\$6,900,000

Part D: Ecosystem Value

D1. What is the potential carbon offset value of shoreline ecosystems?



| Location | Total Marshland in South San Francisco |
|---|---|
| Size of marshland (acres) | 56.50 |
| Size of marshland (hectares) | 22.86 |
| Carbon currently stored (tons CO2e/hectare) | 5021 |
| California Cap and Trade Carbon Price 2018 | |
| (estimated annual average) | \$15 |
| Current potential offset value | \$75,317 |

Sources: Stanford University Natural Capital Project; EcoAtlas; World Bank

Conclusion: Future Applications

Applying this assessment model in other San Mateo County Communities

Land-use decisions

- Impacts of zoning changes
- Climate adaptation planning

Protective infrastructure investments

- Cost-benefit calculations
- Starting point for estimating regional economic impacts of infrastructure loss

Market-based solutions to transfer risk

• Assessment of values can inform market-based compensation solutions

